arXiv:1411.6604v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 20 May 2015

Influence of Ti doping on the incommensurate charge density wave in $1T$-TaS_2

X. M. Chen,[1] A. J. Miller,[1] C. Nugroho,[1] G. A. de la Peña,[1] Y. I. Joe,[1] A. Kogar,[1] J. D. Brock,[2] J. Geck,[3] G. J. MacDougall,[1] S. L. Cooper,[1] E. Fradkin,[1] D. J. Van Harlingen,[1] and P. Abbamonte[1]

[1] *Department of Physics and Frederick Seitz Materials Research Laboratory, University of Illinois, 104 South Goodwin Avenue, Urbana, IL 61801, USA*

[2] *Department of Applied and Engineering Physics, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, 14853, USA*

[3] *Synchrotron Studies of Quantum Matter, Leibniz Institute for Solid State and Materials Research, Dresden, Germany*

(Dated: May 22, 2015)

We report temperature-dependent transport and x-ray diffraction measurements of the influence of Ti hole doping on the charge density wave (CDW) in $1T$-$Ta_{1-x}Ti_xS_2$. Confirming past studies, we find that even trace impurities eliminate the low-temperature commensurate (C) phase in this system. Surprisingly, the magnitude of the in-plane component of the CDW wave vector in the nearly commensurate (NC) phase does not change significantly with Ti concentration, as might be expected from a changing Fermi surface volume. Instead, the angle of the CDW in the basal plane rotates, from 11.9° at $x = 0$ to 16.4° at $x = 0.12$. Ti substitution also leads to an extended region of coexistence between incommensurate (IC) and NC phases, indicating heterogeneous nucleation near the transition. Finally, we explain a resistive anomaly originally observed by DiSalvo [F. J. DiSalvo, et al., Phys. Rev. B **12**, 2220 (1975)] as arising from pinning of the CDW on the crystal lattice. Our study highlights the importance of commensuration effects in the NC phase, particularly at $x \sim 0.08$.

PACS numbers: 71.27.+a

I. INTRODUCTION

First studied over forty years ago, the transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) have regained widespread attention because of parallels between many of their properties and phenomena observed in high temperature superconducting copper-oxide and iron-arsenide materials[1–4]. In addition to having a layered, quasi-2D structure, these materials exhibit competition between superconductivity (SC) and charge density wave (CDW) order[4], interplay between electron-electron and electron-phonon interactions[3], "strange metal" normal state properties, pseudogap effects[2,5], etc. Of particular interest is the response of such materials to impurity dopants or pressure, which are known to tune the structural and electronic properties of TMD materials[17–20]. Prominent examples include the stabilization of superconductivity by Cu intercalation in $1T$-$TiSe_2$[6] and $1T$-TaS_2[7], as well as pressure induced superconductivity and its coexistence with CDW in Ti doped $1T$-TaS_2[9,16].

Here we present a x-ray structural study of the effects of Ti doping on the CDW in $1T$-TaS_2. TaS_2 exhibits the most intricate CDW phenomenology of all the dichalcogenides, having three distinct phases including an incommensurate (IC) phase that sets in below T_{IC}=1120 K, a nearly commensurate (NC) phase below T_{NC}=350 K, and finally a commensurate (C) phase below T_C=180 K[3,21]. The lowest transition is first order and is usually attributed to a Mott transition in which the remaining valence electrons (that have not already been gapped by the NC phase) crystallize into a static lattice with superlattice parameter $\sqrt{13}\,a_o$[3,8,9]. This phase is characterized by a significant Peierls distortion consisting of repeating units with the structure of a Star of David (Fig. 1), and might also be thought of as a polaron lattice. The nominal CDW wave vectors of these phases are summarized in Table I.

The influence of Ti doping was originally studied by DiSalvo[11,22], where it was shown that Ti substitutes for Ta, hole-doping the system. They also showed that TaS_2 is unstable with respect to the formation of S vacancies, which electron dope the system. Hence, unless steps are taken to keep the S content stoichiometric, through the use of precisely controlled S overpressure[12], the composition should be written $Ta_{1-x}Ti_xS_{2-\delta}$, where δ is a small number. Even trace quantities of either Ti impurities or S vacancies eliminate the C phase altogether[11,13]. This may be because the additional carriers screen the Coulomb interactions responsible for Mott localization[8]. Ti doping also reduces T_{NC} and broadens this transition.

One intriguing observation by DiSalvo[11] is that the resistance change at T_{NC}, characterized by the ratio $\rho(4.2K)/\rho(360K)$, exhibits a peak at $x = 0.08$. The enthalpy change at the transition, ΔH_{NC}, also exhibits a peak at a similar composition[11]. These effects been attributed to cation ordering[8], which might exhibit a pe-

CDW	CDW wave vector $\mathbf{q}_{\|\|}$	$q_{\|\|}$	ϕ
IC	$0.283a_o^*$	0.283	0
NC	$0.245a_o^* + 0.068b_o^*$	0.2816	∼12
C	$\frac{3}{13}a_o^* + \frac{1}{13}\,b_o^*$	0.2774	∼13.9

TABLE I. Nominal in-plane component of the CDW wave vector in undoped TaS_2. $q_{||}$ represents the magnitude and ϕ the azimuthal angle in the basal plane (see Fig. 4). a_o^*, b_o^* and c_o^* are reciprocal lattice vectors associated with real space basis vectors a_o, b_o and c_o. The wave vector in the third direction stays very close to $c_0^*/3$.



FIG. 1. Pattern of CDW displacements in the Ta layer of $1T$-TaS_2 in the low-temperature commensurate phase (orange circles represent Ta atoms). The blue dashed line represents a repeating unit of the superstructure. The incommensurate phase studied in this work can be thought of as arising from ordered defects in this commensurate structure.[10]

riod of $a = \sqrt{0.08}\,a_0 \sim a_0/\sqrt{13}$ and would stabilize a CDW of the same wave vector. However, no evidence for structural ordering of cations, which would appear as a diffuse ring of scattering in x-ray experiments, has been observed in this system.

To understand the origin of the resistance peak, and the effects of Ti doping on TaS_2 more generally, it is crucial to measure the composition dependence of the wave vector of the CDW. Ti substitution should decrease the Luttinger volume of the Fermi surface and, presumably, the size of whatever nesting vectors may be relevant to CDW formation (if any). Wave vector studies would, then, provide insight into changes in Fermi surface topology, and shed light on the origin of CDW formation in pure TaS_2 as well as doped materials.

II. EXPERIMENT

Single crystals of $Ta_{1-x}Ti_xS_{2-\delta}$ with $x = 0$, 0.04, 0.08, and 0.12 were grown using iodine vapor transport techniques described previously by the same growers.[11] No special methods were used to fix the S stoichiometry, so we expect these crystals to contain trace amounts of S vacancies.

Prior to x-ray studies, the resistivity of the crystals was characterized with 4-terminal transport measurements. The crystals were prepared by attaching the as-grown flakes onto a glass slide and making contacts with conductive silver paint in a Hall bar geometry. Indium was used to contact leads to the pads. The samples were current-biased and the differential voltage was read-out using the standard lock-in technique. The samples were cooled to $T = 4.2K$ in a liquid helium flow cryostat and the temperature swept using resistive heater.

Confirming the results of previous studies,[11] Ti doping was found to reduce T_{NC} and to broaden this transition, as illustrated in Fig. 2. Moreover, no C phase was observed, which suggests that our samples contain a



FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of the resistivity, $\rho(T)$, of $Ta_{1-x}Ti_xS_{2-\delta}$ for the four compositions studied. Curves are normalized to the value in the IC phase, $\rho(IC)$. The T_{NC} values for each curve are indicated with black arrows. T_{NC} is reduced monotonically by Ti doping, though the size of the resistance jump is largest at $x = 0.08$ (see Fig. 3). Note that the commensurate transition at T_C is not observed in these materials (see text).



FIG. 3. Magnitude of the resistance jump at T_{NC}, characterized by the ratio $\rho(4.2K)/\rho(360K)$, as a function of Ti concentration, x. (black squares) Early results reported by DiSalvo [Ref.[11]]. (red circles) Current study. The ratio exhibits a maximum at $x \sim 0.08$.

small but unknown concentration of S vacancies. Nevertheless, the intriguing peak in the resistance ratio, $\rho(4.2K)/\rho(360K)$, is reproduced in our data at the same value $x = 0.08$ reported previously[11], as shown in Fig. 3. Therefore, it is still possible with our materials to investigate this particular phenomenon.

X-ray experiments were done with MoK_α radiation



FIG. 4. Illustration of the momentum space location of the CDW reflections in the vicinity of the (0,0,3) crystalline Bragg peak, with the (3/13, 1/13, 8/3) reflection examined in the current study indicated with an arrow. We describe the wave vector of this reflection in terms of the in-plane magnitude, $q_{||}$, the azimuthal angle in the basal plane, ϕ, and the periodicity along the c axis, L, as shown.

(17.4 keV) from an 18 kW Rigaku RU-300 rotating anode source. Samples were cooled with a closed-cycle cryostat mounted to a Huber four-circle diffractometer. The angular resolution of the instrument was not sufficient to investigate lineshape changes in the CDW, but was adequate for measuring integrated intensities, which are the focus of the current study. The high temperature symmetric structure of $1T$-TaS_2 consists of identical three-atom-thick TaS_2 sheets that are bound by Van der Waals forces. Each layer has a trigonal antiprismatic structure, but overall octahedral symmetry over two layers, with lattice parameters $a_o = b_o = 3.36$ Å and $c_o = 5.90$ Å.

The signature of a CDW in x-ray experiments is the appearance of a superlattice reflection below the ordering transition whose momentum appears at fractional Miller indices, i.e., $\mathbf{Q} = Ha_0^* + Kb_0^* + Lc_0^*$, where either H, K, or L is not an integer, and a_0^*, b_0^* and c_0^* are Bravais vectors of the reciprocal lattice. Following previous conventions[10,14], we will express $\mathbf{Q}$ in terms of the magnitude of the in-plane wave vector, $q_{||}$, the angle of this vector in the basal plane, ϕ, and the third Miller index, L, which describes the stacking periodicity in the z direction. These quantities are illustrated in Fig. 4. The nominal wave vectors of the various CDW phases in pure TaS_2 are given in Table I. In the current study we will focus on the reflection that lies closest to the (3/13, 1/13, 8/3) commensurate point, which is one of the satellites of the $(0, 0, 3)$ structural Bragg peak.

III. RESULTS

The behavior of the CDW wave vector as a function of temperature for all four samples—both for heating and cooling cycles—is displayed in Fig. 5. Apart from the absence of the C phase, which does not occur in our materials, results for the $TaS_{2-\delta}$ system are very similar to



FIG. 5. Temperature dependence of the CDW wave vector in $Ta_{1-x}Ti_xS_{2-\delta}$ for all four compositions studied. Red and blue curves represent heating and cooling cycles, respectively. The thin vertical lines indicate the value of T_{NC} for each composition (see Fig. 2). The primary effect of doping is to reduce T_{NC} and broaden the transition, leading to a coexistence region in which both IC and NC phases are present (shaded blue regions).

the past observations of Scruby.[14] Changes in the magnitude, $q_{||}$, are small across the entire temperature range. The azimuthal angle, on the other hand, abruptly rotates from $\phi = 0$ to $\phi = 12.4°$ when cooling through T_{NC}, and continuously changes as the temperature is lowered. The CDW "stacking" along the c direction stays close to the commensurate value, $L = 8/3 = 2.67$ (the slight changes visible are due to thermal expansion of the sample stage).

As Ti is added, the azimuthal angle in the IC phase stays fixed at approximately $\phi = 0$. In the NC phase, however, ϕ changes significantly with Ti concentration, from approximately 11.9° at $x = 0$ to appoximately 16.4° at $x = 0.12$. Further, at higher doping levels, the value of $q_{||}$ abruptly changes at T_{NC}. This stands in contrast to the undoped case, in which only the ϕ angle changes at the transition.

The integrated intensity of the CDW reflection, which characterizes the amplitude of the CDW, was also found to be dependent on temperature and doping (Fig. 6). At $x = 0$ some hysteresis was observed in the CDW intensity, though not in the value of T_{NC}. Like the resistance ratio showed in Fig. 3, the CDW intensity also exhibits a local maximum at $x \sim 0.08$. This indicates that, while T_{NC} is suppressed in this system by Ti disorder, the CDW amplitude is maximum for this composition.

At higher Ti concentrations, anomalies were also observed in the fundamental, structural lattice at T_{NC}. Fig. 7 shows the intensity of the (003) structural Bragg peak as a function of T for all four compositions. At $x = 0$ and 0.04, the temperature dependence is featureless, though at $x = 0.04$ the curve is anomalous in that the intensity increases with increasing T, which is the opposite of what is expected from a Debye-Waller effect. At higher doping, however, a pronounced intensity anomaly is observed at T_{NC}. With its peak intensity ten times stronger than that in $x = 0.12$, the anomaly is largest in the $x = 0.08$ sample. This is also the doping at which we have observed the largest CDW amplitude and the largest resistance change at the transition.



FIG. 6. Temperature dependence of the intensity of the CDW reflection in $Ta_{1-x}Ti_xS_{2-\delta}$ for all four compositions studied. Red and blue curves represent heating and cooling cycles, respectively. The thin vertical lines indicate the value of T_{NC} for each composition (see Fig. 2), and the coexistence regions are shaded in blue. Note that the CDW intensity at $x = 0.08$ is greater than that at $x = 0.04$ or $x = 0.12$, which we attribute to commensuration effects (see text).

IV. DISCUSSION

Our results suggest a simple, physical explanation for the origin of the resistive jump at T_{NC}, which as shown in Fig. 2 exhibits a maximum at $x \sim 0.08$.[11] This effect was speculated by Fazekas[8] to be due to pinning on the Ti dopants, which at $x = 0.08$ might have a similar periodicity as the CDW. However, no evidence for ordering of the cations, which would appear as a temperature-independent ring of diffuse scattering, has been reported in the literature, nor have we observed it in the current study.

Instead, we refer the reader to Fig. 8, which shows the distance, δ, in momentum space between the observed CDW peak position and the nominal commensurate wave vector $\mathbf{Q}_C = (3/13, 1/13, 8/3)$. The quantity δ is a measure of the degree of incommensurability of the CDW, and is given in both the IC and NC phases for the four compositions. The lower panel of Fig. 8 (NC phase) shows that $x = 0.08$ corresponds to the composition at which δ is minimum, i.e., at which the CDW is most commensurate. This is also the composition at which the CDW has the largest amplitude (Fig. 6), the largest resistance change at T_{NC} (Fig. 3), and the largest intensity anomaly in the $(0, 0, 3)$ reflection (Fig. 7). This anomaly suggests that significant symmetry-breaking fluctuations take place near the transition, at this composition, even in the underlying lattice. Our data suggests that the NC phase is the most stable at $x = 0.08$.

We therefore suggest an alternative explanation, which is that the peak shown in Fig. 2 is a commensuration effect. As originally pointed out by McMillan,[15] the free energy of a CDW has a significant contribution from pinning on the lattice, which makes it energetically favorable for a CDW to take on a periodicity that is a commensurate multiple of the undistorted structure. This commensuration energy will increase the energy gap, and lower the overall free energy, of a commensurate state compared to an incommensurate state, and gives rise to the lock-in transitions observed in many dichalcogenides.[3]



FIG. 7. Intensity of the (003) structural Bragg peak for different Ti compositions. Red and blue curves represent heating and cooling cycles, respectively. The thin vertical lines indicate the value of T_{NC} for each composition (see Fig. 2). Note that the crystal with $x = 0.08$ exhibits a large anomaly at T_{NC}, indicating significant fluctuations in the fundamental lattice near the transition at this composition.



FIG. 8. Composition dependence of the degree of incommensurability of the CDW, δ, defined as the distance in reciprocal space between the measured wave vector and the commensurate point $\mathbf{Q}_C = (3/13, 1/13, 8/3)$. (upper panel) Average value in the IC phase. (lower panel) Average value in the NC phase. Note that the CDW is most commensurate for $x = 0.08$, where the resistance jump at the transition is maximum.

Our study indicates that the enhanced amplitude and resistance anomaly associated with the CDW at $x = 0.08$ arise because the Fermi surface geometry at this composition favors a CDW phase with a wave vector that is close to a commensurate point. As the result, charge mobility decreases at this doping. Note also (Fig. 8) that the degree of commensurability, δ, in the IC phase decreases monotonically Ti content, with no anomaly in $x = 0.08$. This suggests that commensurability plays a less important role in formation of the IC phase than it does in the NC phase.

Finally, Ti doping was observed to influence the kinetics of the phase transition. In the pure sample, the transition at T_{NC} is narrow in temperature. By contrast, as Ti impurities are added the transition broadens into a crossover region characterized by coexistence of both NC and IC phases (see shaded blue regions in Figs. 5 and 6). We attribute this effect to heterogeneous nucleation driven by nonstoichiometric disorder arising from Ti dopants.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have presented temperature-dependent transport and x-ray diffraction measurements of the influence of Ti hole doping on the charge density wave (CDW) in $1T$-$Ta_{1-x}Ti_xS_2$ in the nearly commensurate (NC) phase. We observed significant changes in the CDW wave vector as a function of both temperature and Ti doping. Our x-ray scattering results showed a first order phase transition from the IC phase to the NC phase in all doping levels with decreased transition temperature as Ti doping was increased. The doping level $x = 0.08$ was found to be special in that it exhibits a peak in the amplitude of the CDW in the NC phase and the largest anomaly in the (0,0,3) structural Bragg reflection, in addition to the large resistance change previously reported.[11] We argue that these anomalies arise because the CDW is most commensurate with the lattice at x=0.08.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

X-ray experiments were supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Basic Energy Sciences under Award Number DE-FG02-06ER46285. Transport measurements were supported by award number DE-SC0012368.

[1] A. H. Castro Neto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 4382 (2001)

[2] R. K. Klemm, Physica C **341-348**, 839 (2000)

[3] K. Rossnagel, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **23** 213001 (2011)

[4] S. V. Borisenko, A. A. Kordyuk, A. N. Yaresko, V. B. Zabolotnyy, D. S. Inosov, R. Schuster, B. Bchner, R. Weber, R. Follath, L. Patthey, and H. Berger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 196402 (2008)

[5] S. V. Borisenko, A. A. Kordyuk, V. B. Zabolotnyy, D. S. Inosov, D. Evtushinsky, B. Bchner, A. N. Yaresko, A. Varykhalov, R. Follath, W. Eberhardt, L. Patthey, and H. Berger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 166402 (2009)

[6] E. Morosan, H. W. Zandbergen, B. S. Dennis, J. W. G. Bos, Y. Onose, T. Klimczuk, A. P. Ramirez, N. P. On and R. J. Cava, Nature Phys. **2**, 544 (2006)

[7] K. E. Wagner, E. Morosan, Y. S. Hor, J. Tao, Y. Zhu, T. Sanders, T. M. McQueen, H. W. Zandbergen, A. J. Williams, D. V. West, and R. J. Cava, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 104520 (2008)

[8] P. Fazekas, E. Tosatti, Philosophical Magazine B **39**, 3 229 (1979)

[9] B. Sipos, A. F. Kusmartseva, A. Akrap, H. Berger, L. Forro, E. Tutis, Nature Mater. **7**, 960 (2008)

[10] A. Spijkerman, J. L. de Boer, A. Meetsma, G. A. Wiegers, S. van Smaalen, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 13757 (1997)

[11] F. J. DiSalvo, J. A. Wilson, B. G. Bagley, J. V. Waszczak, Phys. Rev. B **12**, 2220 (1975)

[12] F. J. DiSalvo, B. G. Bagley, J. M. Voorhoeve, J. V. Waszczak, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **34**, 1357 (1973)

[13] F. Zwick, H. Berger, I. Vobornik, G. Margaritondo, L. Forr, C. Beeli, M. Onellion, G. Panaccione, A. Taleb-Ibrahimi, and M. Grioni, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1058 (1998)

[14] C. B. Scruby, P. M. Williams, G. S. Parry, Phil. Mag. **31**, 255 (1975)

[15] W. L. McMillan, Phys. Rev. B **16**, 643 (1977)

[16] T. Ritschel, J. Trinckauf, G. Garbarino, M. Hanfland, M. v. Zimmermann, H. Berger, B. Buchner, and J. Geck, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 125135 (2013)

[17] X-L. Wu and C. M. Lieber, Phys. Rev. B **41**, 1239(R) (1990)

[18] X-L. Wu, P. Zhou, and C. M. Lieber, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 2604 (1988)

[19] H. Bando, K. Koizumi, Y. Miyahara and H. Ozaki, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **12**, 4353 (2000)

[20] J-D Su, A. R. Sandy, J. Mohanty, O. G. Shpyrko, and M. Sutton, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 205105 (2002)

[21] R. E. Thomson, U. Walter, E. Ganz, J. Clarke, A. Zettl, P. Rauch, and F. J. DiSalvo, Phys. Rev. B **38**, 10734 (1988)

[22] J.A. Wilson, F.J. Di Salvo, S. Mahajan, Advances in Physics **24**, 2 (1975)